



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

2176<sup>sc</sup>  
#9  
12/11/02  
**RECEIVED**

NOV 0 6 2002

Technology Center 2100

In re Applicant:

Dinesh Sheth and Bysakh Bhasi

Filed: August 30, 2001

Serial No. 09/943,801

For: INTERNET INFORMATION  
AGGREGATION SYSTEM USING  
PROGRAMMATIC DATA EXTRACTION

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Attorney Docket No. A00067US

REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Assistant Commissioner of Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Applicant requests a review of its Petition to Waive Fees or a Portion of Fees filed March 20, 2002, together with its Response to Notice of Incomplete Reply (copy enclosed). Applicant filed a response December 6, 2001, to a Notice to File Corrected Application Papers, including 32 sheets of drawings. The Notice provided only a general statement on the imposed requirement to file drawings with correct margins. According to the return receipt post card, the response was not processed by the OIPE until sometime after January 18, 2002. On March 6, 2002, the Office issued a Notice of Incomplete Reply making only the same general statement on the request to file drawings with the stated margins. Applicant responded March 20, 2002, which response was received by the OIPE on March 28, 2002.


On March 25, 2002, Applicant received another Notice of Incomplete Reply, stating a further different complaint with respect to the drawings previously filed. As a result of the combination of mail delays because of the 9/11 tragedy, and the confusing requirements of the Office with respect to

compliance with the Office's demands, on March 29, 2002, Applicant's attorney's deposit account #50-0694 was charged \$720 for Extensions of Time.

Applicant's attorney filed a Request for Reduction of Extension of Time fees likely to be charged due to the extraordinary mail times, and has not received a response, other than the charge of fees for a four-month extension of time.

Applicant's attorney respectfully asks that its earlier petition for a reduction of fees be reviewed and acted upon.

Respectfully submitted,


  
H. Roy Berkenstock  
U.S. Reg. No. 24,719

Date: October 30, 2002

WYATT, TARRANT & COMBS, LLP  
1715 Aaron Brenner Drive  
Suite 800  
Memphis, TN 38120-4367  
901-537-1000  
901-537-1010 FAX

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, United States Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, D.C. 20231, on October 30, 2002.

  
H. Roy Berkenstock  
Reg. No. 24,719